

REMARKS

The amendment does not involve new matter. The additions to claims 1 and 20 are taken from claims 30 and 50 respectively. New claims 60-64 are previous claims 31, 34, 35, 36 and 51 respectively in independent form.


The allowance of claim 45 and allowability of claims 30, 31, 34-36 and 50 is noted with appreciation. Because claim 1 has been amended to include the limitation of claim 30, and claim 20 has been amended to include the limitation of claim 50, those claims and all claims dependent thereon are believed to be allowable. New claims 60-63 have also been identified as allowable as they are claims 31 and 34-36 now in independent form.

Previous claim 51 (now presented in independent form as claim 64) was rejected in the outstanding Office Action only under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting. It was noted that the rejection could be overcome with the filing of a terminal disclaimer. Such a terminal disclaimer is filed herewith. Claim 64 is thus believed to be allowable.

These amendments are made without prejudice to presenting the unamended claims in a continuing application. In addition, this response to the Office Action is not to be construed as an acquiescence in any interpretation of the prior art or positions taken by the Examiner in the outstanding Office Action. Applicant has simply decided to take the claims currently found to be allowable, and preserve the right to continue prosecution in a subsequent application.

Since each of the rejections has been overcome, the case is in condition for allowance. An early notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Steven P. Shurtz (Att)".

Steven P. Shurtz

Registration No. 31,424

Attorney for Applicant

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE
P.O. BOX 10395
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610
(312) 321-4200

Dated: August 4, 2003